JEL Classification: C38, F15

UDC 339.924-044.247 +005.591.452-025.27

DOI: 10.30857/2415-3206.2022.1.3

FEATURES OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF CLUSTER PARTNERSHIP AS A NEW FORM OF QUASI-INTEGRATIVE PROCESS

Mariana SHKODA¹

¹ Kyiv National University of Technologies and Design, Kyiv, Ukraine

The purpose of the article. The main goal of this work is to investigate the specifics of the development of cluster partnership as a form of quasi-integration process.

Methods of research. The article uses general scientific research methods, in particular, empirical methods: methods of historical, logical and comparative analysis – to reveal the evolutionary foundations of cluster partnership development as a new form of quasi-integration process.

Presentation of the main research material. The latest global technological trends are characterized by the intensification of the fifth industrial revolution and the transition of the world economy to the sixth technological system and the knowledge economy. While the industrial economy defines vertical integration, the knowledge economy encourages the formation of organizational relationships and business arrangements built in networks. In this context, the development of national ecosystems and second-level clusters is observed, as well as the spread of partnership interaction between cluster structures on a global scale, which proves the timeliness and relevance of this research. The article analyzes the main stages of integration processes in terms of the formation of technological structures. It was established that the sixth stage of the

development of integration processes coincides with the formation of the VI technological system and the evolution of the category of quasi-integration, which is a predictor of the development of cluster The development of partnership. concept quasi-integration of among Ukrainian and foreign scientists is studied. Therefore, based on the research data, the article proposes to supplement the given classification of forms of quasi-integration with such a form as cluster partnership. In this research, the barriers of integration and quasi-integration are considered and their features are highlighted in relation to the forms of integration and quasi-interactional structures.

Conclusions from the conducted research. In the course of the research, researching the forms of the quasi-integration process, it was proved that the cluster partnership contains features of both an integrated structure and a quasi-integrated structure. Taking into account the criteria specified in the study, we can state that the barriers to integration in corporate structures are quite high, in contrast to the quasi-integrated structure – cluster partnership.

Keywords: integration; integration processes; integrated business structures; quasi-integrated business structures; business entities; network economy.

NUMBER	NUMBER	NUMBER
OF REFERENCES	OF FIGURES	OF TABLES
20	0	3

JEL Classification: C38, F15

УДК 339.924-044.247 +005.591.452-025.27

DOI: 10.30857/2415-3206.2022.1.3

ОСОБЛИВОСТІ РОЗВИТКУ КЛАСТЕРНОГО ПАРТНЕРСТВА ЯК НОВОЇ ФОРМИ КВАЗІІНТЕГРАІЙНОГО ПРОЦЕСУ

Мар'яна ШКОДА¹

¹ Київський національний університет технологій та дизайну, Київ, Україна

Метою даної статті ϵ дослідження особливостей розвитку кластерного партнерства як нової форми квазіінтеграційного процесу.

Методи дослідження. У статті використано загальнонаукові методи дослідження, зокрема, емпіричні методи: методи історичного, логічного та порівняльного аналізу — для розкриття еволюційних засад розвитку кластерного партнерства як нової форми квазіінтеграційного процесу.

Виклад основного матеріалу дослідження. Новітні глобальні технологічні тренди характеризуються інтенсифікацією п'ятої промислової революції переходом світової та економіки до шостого технологічного укладу та економіки знань. Тоді як промислова економіка змінює вертикальну інтеграцію, економіка стимулює утворення міжорганізаційних відносин та ділової домовленості, ЩО будуються мережах. цьому контексті можливість розвитку національних екосистем і кластерів другого рівня, а розширення партнерської також взаємодії між кластерними структурами в глобальному вимірі, що призводить ДО продуктивності актуальності даного дослідження. статті проаналізовано основні етапи інтеграційних процесів розрізі становлення технологічних укладів.

Встановлено, що саме шостий етап розвитку інтеграційних процесів співпадає зі становленням VI технологічного укладу та еволюцією категорії квазіінтеграції, предиктором яка ϵ кластерного партнерства. розвитку Досліджено розвиток поняття квазіінтеграції серед українських та зарубіжних науковців. Відтак, спираючись на дане дослідження, у статті пропонується доповнити наведену класифікацію формування квазіінтеграції такою формою як кластерне партнерство. В даному дослідженні представлено бар'єри інтеграції та квазіінтеграції і виділено ïX ознаки ЩОДО інтеграційних квазіінтераційних та структур.

Висновки з проведеного дослідження. У ході дослідження, досліджуючи форми квазіінтеграційного процесу, доведено, що кластерне партнерство містить у собі ознаки як інтегрованої квазіінтегрованої структури, так і структури. Зважаючи на зазначені в дослідженні критерії, констатувати, що бар'єри інтеграції в корпоративних структурах високі, на відміну від квазіінтегрованої структури – кластерного партнерства.

Ключові слова: інтеграція; інтеграційні процеси; інтегровані структури бізнесу; квазіінтегровані структури бізнесу; суб'єкти бізнесу; мережна економіка.

Statement of the problem and its relation to important scientific and practical tasks. The latest global technological trends are characterized by the intensification of the fifth industrial revolution and the transition of the world economy to the sixth technological mode and knowledge economy. While the industrial economy defines vertical integration, the knowledge economy stimulates the formation of inter-organizational relationships and networked business arrangements. In this context, the development of national ecosystems and second-level clusters is observed, as well as the spread of partnership between cluster structures in the global dimension, which significantly actualizes the need to study the development of cluster partnership as a new form of quasi-integration process.

Actually, the sixth stage of the development of integration processes coincides with the formation of the VI technological mode and the evolution of the category of quasi-integration, which is a predictor of the development of cluster partnership.

Analysis of recent publications on the problem. The term "quasiintegration" appeared in scientific circles quite recently. Quantitative analysis of the results of queries in the Internet environment related to quasi-integration is quite insignificant and amounts to 1240 results for the keyword query, for comparison – the keyword query "integration" gives 23 million 500 thousand results. It should also be noted the lack of theoretical research on the analysis of the phenomenon of quasi-integration, as well as the lack of a unified interpretation of this concept (see, for example, Blois, 1972; Dietrich, 1994; Fernandez, Arrunada, Gonzalez, 2000; Jarillo, 1988; Masten et al, 1989; Monteverde, Teece, 1982; Sheresheva, 2010; Zhyhalkevych and Solntsev, 2018; Zhyhalkevych, 2019). Despite the significant number of studies, we can state that the basis of scientific works of both domestic and foreign authors are taken in terms of integrated and quasi-integrated processes, we set a goal to study the features of quasi-integration through the prism of cluster partnership development, which determined the purpose of this study. cluster partnership as a new form of quasi-integration process.

Statement of the main results and rationale. On the basis of the study of the theoretical basis let us distinguish the stages of development of integration actions in the context of formation of technological modes, revealing the specificity of each period (Table 1).

As can be seen from Table 1, all known waves of integration are connected with technological modes, industrial crises and rises, inflation, in other words, with periods of organizational restructuring of the economy and revaluation of its assets. Actually, the sixth stage of development of integration processes coincides with the formation of the VI technological mode and evolution of the category of quasi-integration, which is a predictor of cluster partnership development.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Table \ 1\\ The \ main \ stages \ of \ integration \ processes \ in \ the \ context\\ of \ the \ formation \ of \ technological \ modes \end{tabular}$

of the formation of technological modes								
Criteria	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4	Step 5	Step 6		
Periods	1895–1904	1916–1929	1960–1970	1980–1990	1990–2000	2000 – present		
Tech- nolo- gical stack	III technological stack (1890–1930)		IV technological stack (1930–1970)	V technological stack (1970–2010)		VI tech- nological stack (2010 – present)		
Type of integration	Horizontal (78%)	Vertical (63%)	Conglo- merate (70%)	Horizontal (47%), Vertical integration (39%)	Horizontal (56%), Vertical (6%)	Hybrid integration, quasi-integration		
Indust- ries	Metallurgic al, food, oil, chemi- cal, mecha- nical engi- neering	Financial institutions, food, oil, chemical, mechanical engineering	Automotive, aerospace	Pharmaceuti cal, medical industry, air transport, banking sector	Oil and gas, telecommu- nication industry, insurance, banking and financial services sector	Intercon- nected industries are conditioned by cluster partnership		
Rea- sons	Economic downturn	Toughness of antitrust laws	Toughness of antitrust laws. Risk appetite, management ambitions, arrogance	Expansion of business activity in FEA, a favorable business climate	Profound technologi- cal changes. Stagnation of the world economy, unstable international situation	Develop- ment of network economy, clusters of the second level of development		
Purposes	Concentration of resources, offers, strengthening of monopolistic action	Expansion of the segment of the controlled market; speciali- zation	Diversification, decentralization of management, delegation of capabilities	Expansion of the controlled market segment worldwide	Ensuring stability, increasing the efficiency of production and economic activities	Increasing the stability of the national economy; development of priority industries; implementati on of cluster partnership development programs		

End of Table 1

Criteria	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4	Step 5	Step 6
Effects	Operational synergy	Operational synergy	Financial synergy	Investment synergy	Investment synergy	Emergence- based synergy
Constit utive con- cepts	Reduction of costs. Strengthe- ning of mono- polistic (oligo- polistic) positions	Reduction of costs. Strengthening of monopolistic (oligopolistic) positions	Reduction of costs. Streng-thening of monopolistic (oligopolistic) positions. Expansion of financial operations and withdrawal of part of capital from industries which faced recession	Reduction of costs. Streng-thening of monopolistic (oligopolistic) positions. Restructuring of business and redistribution of resources	Reduction of costs. Streng-thening of positions. Maintenance of stock market liquidity by additional issue of bonds and satisfying investors' demand	Reducing transaction costs and reducing asset specificity. Support of institutional norms and rules within the cluster partnership and at the level of the state

Source: systematized and supplemented by the author on the basis of (Bertolini and Giovannetti, 2006; Cooke, 2002; Contractor et al., 2003; Ganushchak-Efimenko et al., 2018; He et al., 2011; Kuksa, 2014; et al., Lai et al., 2014; Freeman, 2008).

The degree and nature of quasi-integration of business entities vary from country to country – each country is guided by national traditions, the achieved level of economic development and the most urgent economic problems in choosing the best ways (Bertolini and Giovannetti, 2006). In particular, French scientist H. Welck believes that "quasi-integration (hybrid organization) is a situation in which firms, while retaining the advantages of vertical integration, avoid its risks or ownership rigidity" (www.alpine-space.org, 2007–2013). There is also a widespread opinion that quasi-integration refers to the case when a producer subcontracts the production of a certain component, having at the same time the specific physical assets necessary for the production of this component (Webser, 1995).

The above definitions from the point of view of the authors of the Bureau of Economic Analysis somewhat limit quasi-integration to vertical interactions, the scientists are of the opinion that quasi-integration "is an example of selectivity of vertical integration", explanation of which requires detailed analysis of the situation according to the following parameters

1) Identifying the directions in which vertical integration can take place.

Table 2

- 2) Determination of factors promoting or hindering integration.
- 3) "Weighing" the factors of integration (Cooke, 2002).

According to (Zhyhalkevych and Solntsev, 2018), this approach is also an unjustified narrowing of the concept of quasi-integration. Quasi-integration can be not only vertical, but also horizontal and universal (Kuksa, 2017).

Famous Danish professor of business economics Farok Kontractor observes: "firms choose between alternative forms of international business, from making direct foreign investments to technology transfer on a contractual basis using license agreements, with a whole range of forms of quasi-integration, such as joint ventures..." (Contractor et al., 2003, p. 12). However, the joint venture (as well as strategic alliance, in particular) does not necessarily imply interaction "vertically".

The famous Chinese scientist-economist Y. L. Lai believes that quasiintegration is an association of assets of legally independent companies, voluntarily agreeing to control the management of their assets (Lai et al., 2014).

Among the Ukrainian scientists we should highlight the scientific works of Zhanna Zhyhalkevych and Sergei Solntsev (2018), who in the study of the process of quasi-integration reveal the essence of the concept of quasi-integrated structures as self-learning, self-learning, self-organizing and self-developing systems. Their characteristic features: stability (about changes in the external environment) and synergy (relative to internal effects). This study considers such forms of quasi-integrated structures as strategic alliance, Network-connection, Cluster, Value Chain (network), Focal Supply Network, Dynamic Focal Network, Virtual Organization (Zhyhalkevych and Solntsev, 2018; Zhyhalkevych, 2019).

Consequently, based on the research of foreign and domestic scientists, it is proposed to supplement the following classification of forms of quasi-integration with such form as cluster partnership (Table 2).

Forms of the quasi-integration process

Forms of the Type of quasiquasi-integration **Definition** Author integration process A strategic alliance is an agreement for the cooperation of two or more Horizontal independent firms to achieve certain (sometimes Strategic Alliance commercial goals aimed at obtaining M. Starovoitov with elements synergies of the companies' combined of vertical) and complementary strategic resources.

End of Table 2

E	End of Table				
Forms of the quasi-integration process	Definition	Type of quasi- integration	Author		
Network-alliance	Free flexible coalition, managed from a single center, which undertakes such important functions as the creation and management of them, the coordination of financial resources and technology, the definition of areas of competence and strategy, as well as solving the related management issues, linking the network together.	Vertical, Horizontal	F. Webster		
Value Chain	A form of vertical quasi-integration, the special feature of which is the long-term cooperation of partners who have generally equal rights and who are located along the value chain, serving specific markets or customer orders.	Vertical with horizontal elements	M. Sheresheva		
Focal supply chain network	A network in which one (usually a large) company is the central unit (focal firm) and suppliers located at different stages of value creation (first, second, third level suppliers) are in a dependent position.	Vertical	S. Orekhova		
Dynamic focal network	A vertical network, which provides value creation for the consumer by combining products and services of partner companies, is grouped around one dominant central unit, which coordinates value creation activities in hierarchical ways.	Vertical, horizontal	S. Orekhova		
Virtual organization	A temporary form of cooperation based on the use of information technology that provides benefits to customers. This is achieved by optimizing the system of production of benefits on the basis of a trusting combination of resources and competencies of the partners included in the network. It is due to this that synergistic effect and resource cost savings are sometimes achieved.	Vertical, horizontal	K. Rumyantsev		

Source: systematized by the author on the basis of (Orekhova, http://orekhovasv.ru; Rumiantsev, 2008; Starovoitov et al., 2014; Sheresheva, 2010; Webser, 1995).

Based on a thorough analysis of the barriers to integration and quasiintegration, let us distinguish their attributes in relation to the forms of integration and quasi-integration structures (Table 3).

Table 3

Barriers to the development of integration and quasi-integration

Darriers to the development of integration and quasi-integration							
Cionala	Forms of integration and quasi-integration structures						
Signals	Cartel	Conglomerate	Consortium	Syndicate	Holding	CP	
Lack of diversification	+	-	-	+	+	1	
Limit of participants	+	+	+	+	+	ı	
Loss of independence	-	+	-	-	+	ı	
Antitrust policy	+	-	-	+	ľ	ı	
Severe restrictions	+	+	+	+	+	ı	
Lack of government	+	-				_	
support		+ -	1	+	+	_	
Low level of	+						
competitiveness		_	_	+	-	-	

Source: researched and systematized by the author based on (Bertolini and Giovannetti, 2006; Cooke, 2002; He et al., 2011; Freeman, 2008; Pattinson et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2009).

Conclusions and prospects for further research. Given the above criteria, we can state that the barriers to integration in corporate structures are quite high, in contrast to the quasi-integrated structure – cluster partnership, because the limitations are "smoothed out" by the state policy in terms of regional development (regional development strategy for 2020–2027) (zakon.rada.gov.ua, 2020), which will have a positive effect on employment, the budget, reduction of social tension, improvement of the region's image, and will also contribute to further socio-economic development of the national economy.

REFERENCES

Bertolini, P., Giovannetti, E. (2006). Industrial districts and internationalization: The case of the agri-food industry in Modena, Italy. Entrepreneurship and Regional Development.

Cooke, P. (2002). Knowledge Economies: Clusters, Learning and Cooperative Advantage. London: Routledge.

Contractor, F. J., Kundu, S. K., Hsu, C. (2003). A three-stage theory of international expansion: The link between multinationality and performance in the service sector. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 34: 5–18.

Ganushchak-Efimenko, L. M., Shkoda, M. S., Nifatova, O. M. (2018). Strategic approach to managing the risk of the company's business portfolio. *Management*, 1(27): 111–118. DOI: https://doi.org/10.30857/2415-3206.2018.1.10.

He, Z., Rayman-Bacchus, L., Wu, Y. (2011). Self-organization of industrial clustering in a transition economy: A proposed framework and case study evidence from China. Research Policy.

Kuksa, I. M. (2014). Problemy formuvannia innovatsiinoi modeli rozvytku APK v Ukraini [Problems of forming an innovative model of agricultural development in Ukraine]. *Aktualni problemy ekonomiky = Actual Problems of Economics*, 1: 105–109 [in Ukrainian].

Lai, Y. L., Hsu, M. S., Lin, F. J., Chen, Y. M., Lin, Y. H. (2014). The effects of industry cluster knowledge management on innovation performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 2014.

Freeman, C. (2008). Systems of Innovation: Selected Essays in Evolutionary Economics. SPRU, University of Sussex, UK. 288 p.

Marc Pattinson, inno TSD Soraya Bernard, inno TSD Camille Duran, inno TSD Jean Noel Durvy Expert. European Cluster Collaboration Platform. Research paper on cluster manager internationalisation skills and keys for success in managing and promoting pan-European Strategic Cluster Partnerships FINAL REPORT 19 November 2014.

Orekhova, S. V. Mezhfirmennyye seti: sushchnost', vidy, preimushchestva [Intercompany networks: essence, types, advantages]. URL: http://orekhovasv.ru/Is_PDF/C/C3.pdf [in Russian].

Petrov, D. E. (2015). The relationship of integration of the structural elements of the system of Russian law and unification of legislation. In: *Special features of modern legal systems: cases and collisions: Monograph*, Vol. 6. Ed. by L. Shlossman. Vienna: «East West» Association for Advanced Studies and Higher Education GmbH. P. 83–94.

Rumiantsev, K. N. (2008). Noveyshiye proyavleniya integratsionnykh protsessov [The latest manifestations of integration processes]. *Nauka. Obrazovanyye. Innovatsionnyy. Klasterizatsiya predpriyatiya: sostoyaniye i perspektivy = Science. Educated. Innovative. Enterprise clustering: state and prospects*, 7: 52–71 [in Russian].

Starovoitov, M. K., Medvedeva, L. N., Honcharova, E. V., Starovoitova, Ya. M., Lukianov, H. Y. (2014). Upravlencheskiye resheniya v sovremennykh organizatsiyakh: teoriya i praktika: uchebnoye posobiye [Management Decisions in Modern Organizations: Theory and Practice: Textbook]. URL: https://books.google.com.ua/books?id=NuGpCgAAQBAJ [in Russian].

Stratehii rozvytku rehioniv Ukrainy na 2021–2027: Ukaz Prezydenta Ukrainy [Regional development strategies of Ukraine for 2021–2027: Decree of the President of Ukraine] vid 12.01.2020 № 5/2020. URL: https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/5/2020 [in Ukrainian].

Sheresheva, M. Yu. (2010). Formy setevogo vzaimodeystviya kompanii: monografiya [Forms of network interaction of the company: monograph]. Moscow: Publishing House State Higher School of Economics. 339 p. [in Russian].

Zhao, W., Watanabe, C., Griffy-Brown, C. (2009). Competitive advantage in an industry cluster: The case of Dalian Software Park in China. Technology in Society.

Zhyhalkevych, Zh. M., Solntsev, S. (2018). Kvaziintehratsiini struktury: teoretychni aspekty, faktory formuvannia, napriamy aktyvizatsii, stratehichni priorytety [Quasiintegration structures: theoretical aspects, factors of formation, directions of activation, strategic priorities]. In: *Metodolohiia innovatsiinoho rozvytku promyslovykh pidpryiemstv u konteksti yevrointehratsii: monohrafiia [Methodology of innovative development of industrial enterprises in the context of European integration: monograph*]. L. M. Shulhina, L. P. Artemenko, Zh. M. Zhyhalkevych, M. O. Chupryna, H. P. Zhaldak. Kyiv: KPI im. Ihoria Sikorskoho. 198 p. [in Ukrainian].

Zhyhalkevych, Zh. M. (2019). Pidkhody do rozroblennia prohramnykh pokaznykiv rozvytku kvazistruktur mashynobuduvannia [Approaches to the development of software indicators for the development of the mechanical engineering quasi-structure]. *Problemy systemnoho pidkhodu v ekonomitsi = Problems of the systemic approach in economics*, No. 1(69), Ch. I, P. 140–146 [in Ukrainian].

Webser, J. (1995). Networks of Collaboration or Conflict? Electronic Data Interchange and Power in the Supply Chain. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, Vol. 4, No. 1, P. 31–42.

Welck, H. Concept of Meta-Cluster in the Alpine Space. URL: http://www.alpine-space.org/2007-2013/uploads/tx_txrunningprojects/Alps4EU_Meta-Cluster_concept.pdf.

AUTHOR (S) BIOSKETCHES



Shkoda Mariana, D.Sc in Economics, Associate Professor, Department of Entrepreneurship and Business, Kyiv National University of Technologies and Design, Ukraine.

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7356-4320 Scopus Author ID: 53864082500

ResearcherID: P-9801-2016
E-mail: mlomova@ukr.net

COPYRIGHTS

©2022 The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, as long as the original authors and source are cited. No permission is required from the authors or the publishers.

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE

Shkoda, M. (2022). Features of the development of cluster partnership as a new form of quasi-integrative process. *Management*, 1(35): 33–42. https://doi.org/10.30857/2415-3206.2022.1.3.